1 2 3 4			IUTES OF THE REGULAR LE PLANNING COMMISSION	
5	May 27, 2020			
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NEV	MEETING WAS HELD PUR VSOM'S EXECUTIVE ORDI NO LONGER OPEN TO IN	IFORNIA'S DECLARATION OF SUANT TO AUTHORIZATION ERS – CITY COUNCIL AND CO-PERSON ATTENDANCE. THE COOM TELECONFERENCE.	FROM GOVERNOR DMMISSION MEETINGS
13 14	A.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:03	P.M.	
15 16	В.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANO	CE AND ROLL CALL	
17 18 19		Commissioners Present:	Flashman, Kurrent, Moriarty, Chair Brooks	Murphy, Ojeda, Wong,
20 21		Commissioners Absent:	None	
22 23 24 25 26 27		Staff Present:	Tamara Miller, Development S City Engineer David Hanham, Planning Man Alex Mog, Assistant City Attor Justin Shiu, Contract Planner	ager
28 29	C.	CITIZENS TO BE HEARI	<u> </u>	
30 31 32 33 34		<b>.</b>	bmitted written comments via ce filed with the agenda packe	
35	D.	CONSENT CALENDAR		
36 37 38		Planning Commissi	on Meeting Minutes from Febru	ary 24, 2020
39 40 41		<b>MOTION</b> to adopt the Place 2020, as submitted.	anning Commission Meeting M	inutes from February 24,
42 43		MOTION: Kurrent	SECONDED: Moriarty	APPROVED: 6-0-1 ABSTAIN: Flashman
44 45 46	E.	PUBLIC HEARINGS:		

	1
	٦ T
	2 3 4
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	5 6 7 8 9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	01234567890123456789012
1	6
士 1	7
1	0
1	0
<b>→</b>	9
2	0
2	Τ
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
3	_
3	
3	
<u>ح</u>	о 7
3	/
3	8
3	9
4	
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	
4	

1. Pinole Square (Appian 80) Shopping Center – Design Review DR-17-23, Conditional Use Permit CUP 17-12, 17-13, 17-14, 17-15, 17-16, 17-17, 17-18, 20-01, 20-02; Variance VAR 20-01, 20-02; Specific Plan Amendment SPA 20-01

#### Request:

Consideration of design review and conditional use permit, variance, and specific plan amendment requests to make modifications to the Appian 80 Shopping Center at 1201-1577 Tara Hills Drive in the CMU District. The project includes 1) demolition of the existing building housing the Safeway and CVS building, the car wash building, the antique restoration building, and a portion of the existing building housing the former O'Reilly Wheel Works and Pizza Hut; 2) construction of new structures, including, but not limited to, a Safeway fuel station and associated kiosk, a drive-through restaurant, a causal sit-down restaurant, and a new building for the Safeway grocery store and other shops; and 3) no change to the two existing pad buildings, which include the dry cleaner/fitness/restaurant/vacant space and the China Delight restaurant, located on the eastern portion of the site.

**Applicant**: Eric Price

Lowney Architecture 360 17<sup>th</sup> Street Oakland, CA 94612

**Location:** 1201-1577 Tara Hills Drive (APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09,

10, 14 and 19)

**Planner:** David Hanham

Planning Manager David Hanham provided a PowerPoint presentation for the Pinole Square (Appian 80) Shopping Center project as outlined in depth in the May 27, 2020 staff report. The Planning Commission was asked to take the following actions:

- Adopt Resolution 20-02, recommending approval to the City Council of a Mitigated Negative Declaration and accompanying Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program for the Pinole Square Shopping Center.
- Adopt Resolution 20-03, recommending approval to the City Council for a SPA 20-01 allowing a fuel station in the CMU zone district within the Appian Way Corridor.
- Adopt Resolution 20-04, recommending approval to the City Council of the design review request (DR 17-23) for development of the Pinole Square

Shopping Center including four new commercial buildings.

- Adopt Resolution 20-05, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permit (CUP 17-14) for the Pinole Square Shopping Center Pylon Sign.
- Adopt Resolution 20-06, recommending approval to the City Council for a Public Convenience Necessity for conditional use permit 20-01 for alcohol sales Safeway Kiosk.
- Adopt Resolution 20-07, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permits (CUP 17-15, 17-17, and 17-18) allowing outdoor dining for Shops 4-10 and Shop 1, outdoor merchandise sales for Safeway.
- Adopt Resolution 20-08, recommending approval to the City Council of variances (VAR 20-01 and 20-02) allowing a wood fence and allowing the Safeway Fuel Station proximity to the Chevron Station.
- Adopt Resolution 20-09, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permits (CUPs 17-16 and 20-02) allowing a Commercial Pad (Drive Through) and reduced parking.
- Adopt Resolution 20-10, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permits (CUP 17-13 and 20-01) for the addition of alcohol sales at the Safeway Store and Kiosk; and
- Adopt Resolution 20-11, recommending approval to the City Council of a conditional use permit (CUP 17-12) for the approval of a new Safeway Fuel Station Kiosk and Canopy.

Responding to questions from the Commission, Mr. Hanham clarified the following:

- The site layout and entrances into the project site.
- The Western Contra Costa County Transit (WestCAT) bus turnout lane on Plan C.2.1, Horizontal Control Plan, as part of Attachment A, Project Plans and Project Description.
- The area on the site plan identified as Shop 16E, which was underutilized and
  may allow for residential development on the eastern edge of the property. If
  a residential project were to be defined in that area any application would be
  reviewed if and when submitted, and would use the same access points in/out
  of the property (shared access in/out of the parking lot) as the center.
- Parking needs as part of any future residential application would have to be analyzed at that time and any residential development would be High Density

between 20 to 30 units to the acre.

- A Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program would be required as a condition of approval and the applicant would be required to enter into an agreement with the City to provide a car share spot in perpetuity for the project.
- Six to seven parking spaces between Row 6 may accommodate electrical vehicle (EV) charging stations.
- The proposed pylon sign for the Pinole Square Shopping Center was within the purview of the Planning Commission. Staff would inspect the sign to ensure it met the approved parameters in terms of size, square footage, and appearance as part of the City's due diligence during the construction of the project. The applicant's design package had described the signage for the stores for the individual spaces which staff would verify once under construction. If there were any issues with those signs they could be brought forward to the Planning Commission as part of the design review process. The pylon sign included additional display (illuminated signage) and Pinole's Sign Code allowed for illumination. The height of the pylon sign had been proposed at 75 feet. The Sign Code allowed a sign up to 60 feet in height; however, with an approved CUP the sign was permitted to be as high as 75 feet on the freeway side.
- The nearby Chevron Station would be within 500 feet of the Safeway gas station kiosk but on the opposite side of the intersection of Appian Way and Tara Hills Drive, with the Safeway kiosk to serve the Tara Hills Drive area. The Safeway kiosk would not be separate and would be part of Safeway. In the event the kiosk was not approved, everything associated with the kiosk would be eliminated from the project.
- Social distancing requirements related to the outdoor dining areas as part of Shops 4-10 and Shop 1 would involve a separate requirement from the County Health Department apart from the plans presented. Safeway would be required to comply with any local or State health orders that may be in place.
- The circulation around the Safeway fuel stations. Fuel trucks would be able
  to enter through the main entrance, circle around to fuel the pumps, and then
  out. The direction of the fuel pumps were anticipated to be one way, to be
  clarified by the applicant.
- While the Three Corridors Specific Plan and CMU District did not allow for gas stations, in this case the gas station would be connected to Safeway, and the

applicant had proposed a Specific Plan Amendment (SPA) to only allow the gas station if part of a larger retail center of 50,000 square feet or more. The Planning Commission's decision on the SPA would determine the viability of the other applications (gas station and kiosks). The fuel station would involve only the kiosks and a canopy over the pumps. No car wash had been proposed as part of the project.

- Safeway delivery trucks were anticipated to have the same delivery schedule as the existing Safeway to the rear of the property, to be clarified by the applicant.
- Staff was unaware whether Safeway and the fuel station had been proposed to be open 24 hours/7 days a week, to be clarified by the applicant.

Mr. Hanham also reported the applicant had requested the following revisions via comments received May 27, 2020:

- Remove reference of Eric Price from all resolutions, to be replaced with Lowney Architecture on behalf of Hillsboro Properties, Inc.
- Revise the statement on Page 11 of the staff report that the Joint City Council and Planning Commission Study Session had been held in 2019, not 2017.
- While staff was of the opinion that Finding 4 had been met given the text of the finding on Pages 11 and 12, the applicant requested that the finding not apply.
- Correct the missing third finding in the text on Page 16.
- Add a reference to Attachment N on Page 20.
- With respect to additional Findings for the drive through on Page 25, staff clarified in response to Finding 1 that the drive through did not exit onto a street but entered into the shopping center. In response to Finding 2, with eleven cars stacking as well as an addition of two to three more it would not interfere with access in/out of the center, and based on time frames for the drive throughs would not have an effect on the existing parking lot. When an actual tenant comes in there would be additional approvals for whatever drive-through came through. In response to Finding 3, based on the location, people would be able to access the facility easily from Tara Hills Drive and right in/out, and based on the location staff was of the opinion this finding could be met.
- While the applicant had proposed revised language to Pages 26 and 28, staff was of the opinion the language, as shown, met the intent of the findings in

this section.

- With respect to Resolution 20-06, recommending approval to the City Council
  for a Public Convenience Necessity for conditional use permit 20-01 for
  alcohol sales Safeway Kiosk, the applicant had requested additional language
  to one of the whereas clauses, although staff was of the opinion the additional
  language was not needed and the existing recital met the intent.
- With respect to Resolution 20-03, recommending approval to the City Council
  for a SPA 20-01 allowing a fuel station in the CMU zone district within the
  Appian Way Corridor, eliminate the ninth whereas clause reference to a car
  wash with the CEQA recitals to mirror the language in the other resolutions
  and reliance on the Initial Study/Mitigated Declaration (IS/MND), which would
  be corrected by staff.
- With respect to Resolution 20-03, revise the footnote shown on the bottom of the table on Page 5, to read: *The service station must be accompanied with a larger retail establishment with a minimum of 50,000 square feet*.
- With respect to Resolution 20-09, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permits (CUPs 17-16 and 20-02) allowing a Commercial Pad (Drive Through) and reduced parking, revise Additional Parking Reduction Findings, 4 on Page 2, to read: The applicant had provided on-site parking for car share vehicles via a recorded written agreement between the landowner and the city that runs with the land. Agreement shall provide for proof of a perpetual agreement with the City to provide at least one (1) car share vehicle-on-site.
- With respect to Resolution 20-10, recommending approval to the City Council of conditional use permits (CUP 17-13 and 20-01) for the addition of alcohol sales at the Safeway Store and Kiosk; change the reference on Page 1 from Eric Price, Lowney Architecture, to *Safeway* as the Applicant.
- With respect to Exhibit A, Conditions of Approval 4, the applicant had requested clarification of this condition and staff would clarify the language further to make it clear.

In terms of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) as to whether there had been ample opportunity for public comment even with the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, Mr. Hanham stated the deadline for the EIR had been April 2, 2020. The EIR had been posted in the City of Pinole, and distributed to all associated agencies including additional agencies that had submitted comments. Staff was of the opinion there had been adequate time for public comment.

Two comments had been submitted by Caltrans and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

17

32

25

26 27

38

39

40 41 42

43

44

45 46

As to solar panel requirements, the applicant would be required to comply with all building codes in effect at the time of building permit issuance. In addition, Condition 57(E) of Exhibit A for Resolutions 20-04 to 20-11, did not apply to the project and would be eliminated.

### PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

TODD GREEN, Vice President, Hillsboro Properties, Inc., San Mateo, explained that the Appian 80 Shopping Center had been family-owned since 1964. The intent had been to reinvigorate and redevelop the shopping center, with a Safeway with a 60year horizon to anchor the center and enhance the shopping experience for neighbors and regional shoppers. The project involved a major upgrade and rebranding into a state-of-the-art regional shopping center, maximizing the site retail potential and creating the new center as a gateway into Pinole generating much needed sales tax revenue for the community.

ERIC PRICE, Lowney Architecture, 360 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Oakland, provided a PowerPoint presentation with an overview of the site plan, improvements to parking, plantings and accessibility. The project would include 10 percent more building area, improved quality, the texture of the buildings to be more contemporary and modern and with improved parking at 90 degrees making it easier to navigate and pull out of the parking stalls, along with improving pedestrian and vehicle circulation. The three pedestrian connections from Tara Hills Drive would pull people into the site and help circulation throughout. Other improvements included on-site storm water management through bio-swales and bio-planters to assist with water quality.

The project was large enough to be phased, with the western half to be built first, including the site improvements to limit the time the Safeway Store was down, and once that was constructed the eastern half would continue to be built. There would be substantial improvements in plants and trees with a variation in the plant palette.

Mr. Price described the layout of the center and explained that the promenade plan stretched from the front of Safeway with seasonal outdoor merchandise and outdoor seating in front of the shops and the junior anchor and would provide places for people to linger. The promenade area would be 30 feet deep providing plenty of area for people to sit and comply with future social distancing, if required. Seats walls would be provided and randomly placed boulders would also allow for sitting and areas for children to play. At the same time, as much landscaping as possible would be introduced to buffer the main drive aisle and in the outdoor areas to ensure it was as beautiful as possible.

The Tara Hills Drive elevation had accommodated a bus turn lane/pocket so when buses stopped it would not impact Tara Hills Drive.

Directly to the bus stop was a pathway in front of Shops 2E and 3E and another pathway connecting to the kiosk and to the main driveway. Decorative paving would

be provided at each of the vehicular entrances and the sidewalks to calm and slow traffic.

The fueling station would be enhanced with planting to screen the areas, and the viewing triangles, with right in/out turning movements would be pulled back a bit with the landscaping to ensure visibility.

Views of the architecture were provided, with a mixture of stone, wood and stucco. The shops buildings had been articulated in a way to appear like a collection of smaller shops, with glass and canopies to cast shadow and interest. The buildings would appear cool and would be an armature for signage for tenant identity. The Safeway building would be designed with the use of glass and natural light evoking classic 50s and 60s Safeway stores. The parking areas would include a lot of trees to beautify the area, with parking lot lights at 25 feet in height and consist of energy efficient luminaires reducing impacts to the environment with no spillover into the neighboring properties. In addition, the pylon sign would be 75 feet in height versus the existing pylon sign at 50 feet high.

Responding to Commission questions, Messiers Green and Price advised there were no plans to change the truck deliveries for Safeway. It had been determined that a wood fence barrier on the left side would control any noise. There was also a grade difference of 10 feet along that elevation. A community meeting had been held on the project and the applicants could not recall any concerns with that issue. As to the use of electric vehicle (EV) charging stations, there would be 20 EV stalls scattered throughout the site and the project would comply with any requirements in place at the time of pulling permits. In terms of solar, the project would be required to be built solar-ready but would not be required to install solar panels and solar panels would not be installed.

There were no plans to remove stairs on Tara Hills which would connect well for the plans for walkability. The pathway in front of Shops 2E and 3E would stretch across the main drive aisle, connect to most of the parking bays and connect back in front of the main drive aisle, with two locations for people to walk between parking stalls and connect back down to the front of Safeway and the front of Shop 9. Bicycle lockers would be located at the backs of the stores intended for long-term bicycle storage for employees who commuted to work, with approximately 40 bike racks across the front of the promenade plaza. The pathways would be delineated through the use of truncated domes and the main areas may be stamped asphalt, with simple color and texture changes at the main points of crossing.

The fueling stations were part of the extension Safeway wanted to provide its customers as a convenience and revenue generator for the City, and Mr. Green confirmed, when asked, that Safeway wanted the fueling stations.

The que for the fueling stations would be to the east, pursuant to the site plan, with two cars at the pumps and two cars in the que, which circulation had been reviewed

as part of the CEQA documents. There would be eight fueling stations and sixteen pumps and no backup or blockage of the main drive aisle was anticipated. The parking lanes would all be two way.

The civil plans for the project had detailed the truck route into the site, with the service entrance used for Safeway and the main shops. Trucks would exit at the Tara Hills Drive main entrance. Standard delivery trucks could easily navigate the shopping center and the rear of the shopping center.

As reported, the project would be constructed in phases estimated to take about a year to 18 months. The extreme northwest corner entrance from Tara Hills Drive had been identified as one of the truck entry routes and the existing width of the two-way driveway was 26 feet wide. New trees would be planted immediately west of the Safeway building, consisting of 24-inch box trees, to stop at the top edge of the north edge of Safeway. Storm water treatment would be required for the project to be strategically located in areas that made sense, particularly downhill, with many of the existing screening trees at 40 to 50 feet in height to remain in place.

The junior anchor and fast food restaurant had not yet been identified, Pizza Hut would be eliminated, and the façade of the Bank of America building would be improved. The applicants also clarified the square footage of the outdoor space for the Shops at College in Claremont in the Rockridge neighborhood of the City of Oakland, facility was about the same size as the proposal for the subject property at 3,500 square feet.

The following speakers submitted written comments via email that were read into the record and would be filed with the agenda packet for this meeting: *Daniel Allen, Rafael Menis, J. Vossbrink, Jim, Neighbors and Friends, and Citizens for a Better Pinole*.

Responding to public comment read into the record, Mr. Hanham clarified the developer had no plans to erect a sound wall but had applied for a variance for a 7foot high new wood fence for the entire length of the property. As conditioned, if the wood fence were to fall into disrepair the applicant would be required to erect a decorative wall. Air quality standards were set by the BAAQMD and the property proponents were to mitigate any air quality impacts pursuant to the mitigation measures identified in the environmental documents. The kiosk would typically employ one to two people depending on the availability and store employees tended to keep the facilities clean. The gas pump stations would be located in the northwest portion of the project in between the main aisle way and Shops 1E, 2E and 3E. The gas station was Safeway's standard model as part of the design for Safeway's larger stores. The parking stalls would be designed and consistent with Pinole Municipal Code (PMC) 9 x 18-foot spaces for the 90-degree angled parking spaces. The applicant may install compact parking spaces as well, to be identified on the plans. In terms of the potential for residential development, if in the future a developer proposed to demolish the buildings on 15E or 16E, there was the potential for

residential development, which was why the City did not want to lose the High Density Overlay status.

Mr. Hanham explained that at this time this would be the only gas station associated with the main Safeway store. The kiosk was smaller than most convenience stores. Pinole's Police Chief had submitted written correspondence and had expressed no concerns with the gas station and kiosk, as proposed. Staff had determined the variances could be justified since the gas station and kiosk were attached to the larger retail (Safeway) component. The reason for the SPA was also further clarified, with staff noting the entire project area was under the IS/MND and the SPA was part of the review of the project, with some changes in the language in the resolutions required to ensure consistency with all of the resolutions.

Mr. Hanham responded to concerns that the Hercules Safeway project would be completed ahead of Pinole's project. As to why the subject project had taken so long to bring forward for consideration by the City of Pinole, there was acknowledgement that staffing issues had been one factor although staff had been working diligently with the applicants to bring the project forward and were almost at the end of the entitlement process.

Mr. Green also spoke to the staff turnover which he agreed had delayed the project along with unexpected state and local regulations, which had been challenging. Everyone wanted the project to commence as soon as possible.

TODD PARADIS, Real Estate Manager, Safeway, explained that the fueling stations had been an issue in some communities where there had been difficulties with circulation and stacking. Those stations involved a different design than what had been proposed for the Pinole Square Shopping Center. In this design, there was the ability to stack in eight rows allowing for easy stacking and providing additional draw to the Pinole Safeway store.

Mr. Paradis commented that most cities had not counted the queuing positions as parking stalls, and in reality with eight dispensers on two sides, there would be sixteen parking stalls as well as the ability to stack another eight vehicles on the outside, equating to having room for 24 vehicles to stack, which still left room for the tanker truck to come in the primary driveway, as shown on the original plans. There would also be fuel ambassadors on-site at times to bring people in to ensure a smooth and safe circulation. Given the design, he did not anticipate vehicles would be stacked and waiting. He acknowledged vehicles may come in on the side of the primary driveway with headlights facing the existing Bank of America building, and vehicles may exit left into the store parking lot or turn right leaving the shopping center entirely. In terms of the delivery truck circulation, the trucks already came in from the service driveway along the side, pulled into the rear and into the loading dock, and exited out the front.

As to the hours of operation, Mr. Paradis could not state at this time the Safeway store and fueling stations would be open 24/7 since the store currently closed at

10:00 P.M. and opened at 6:00 A.M. Safeway sought the ability to provide a 24/7 service if it made sense.

Mr. Paradis did not see that any of those changes would alter existing conditions to the neighborhood and noted that the construction of the Safeway store was not expected for a year pending approval of the required entitlements and City processes.

Assistant City Attorney Alex Mog noted the lateness of the hour and the City's procedure with respect to public meetings, to end at 11:00 P.M. unless there was a motion to continue the meeting past 11:00 P.M. He encouraged the Commission to move towards acting on the resolutions before them at this time. Alternatively, the item could be continued to a future Planning Commission meeting at the Commission's discretion. He explained that if the Planning Commission desired to extend the meeting beyond 11:00 P.M., a motion must be made to that effect.

No motion was made at this time.

#### PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

The Planning Commission discussed the Pinole Square (Appian 80) Shopping Center project and offered the following comments and/or direction to staff:

Expressed concern with the fueling station and diesel and gas emissions which could be problematic with pedestrian safety, traffic, and air quality; and the potential for future residential development, particularly that the property could become a hazardous/toxic site and as such would like greater information on mitigation measures to address those impacts. Questioned whether or not the project could move forward absent the fueling station and requested more discussion on that possibility. Also requested more information on trash and waste management mitigation measures. (Murphy)

Mr. Green stated for the record there would be no Safeway store absent the fueling station which he described as a deal breaker.

 Suggested the traffic study had been inadequate and had not considered the specific geometry of right turn lanes onto Appian Way, but was willing to approve the IS/MND and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP), with the caveat that a condition be added to design review that a more extensive traffic study be prepared. Also, questioned whether Finding 2, as shown on Page 20 of the May 27, 2020 staff report, was a true statement and recommended it be stricken from the staff report. (Kurrent)

Mr. Mog recommended as an option that in order to expedite the process the Planning Commission may consider one motion for all of the resolutions, and the

Planning Commission may identify and list its concerns with traffic, location of the fuel station and the like, to be forwarded on to the City Council. If there were any concerns with any of the specific resolutions, Mr. Mog stated they could be pulled out for discussion.

- Opposed packaging all of the resolutions into one motion and expressed concern the Planning Commission was being pushed by a member of staff. If that was the direction to be taken he would abstain from voting on the item. Preferred each of the resolutions be considered individually. (Wong)
- Agreed each of the resolutions be considered individually (Brooks).

While no motion was made or vote taken, Planning Commission consensus (although not unanimous) had been to extend the Planning Commission meeting beyond 11:00 P.M.

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-02, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending that the City Council Approve the Initial Study/Mitigated Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for New Development at Pinole Square APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A, Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

MOTION: Kurrent SECONDED: Moriarty APPROVED: 6-0-1
ABSTAIN: Wong

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-03, a Resolution of the City of Pinole Planning Commission Recommending that the City Council approve A Three Corridors Specific Plan Amendment Modifying the Appian Way Service Sub-Area Commercial Mixed Use Zone (SPA 18-01), subject to Exhibit A, Specific Plan Amendment.

MOTION: Kurrent SECONDED: Ojeda APPROVED: 5-2
NOES: Flashman, Murphy

[Resolutions 20-04 through 20-11 were approved by Roll Call votes]

Motion by Commissioner Wong, seconded by Commissioner Moriarty to adopt Resolution 20-04. On the motion, Commissioner Kurrent recommended the gas station and drive through locations be swapped to improve traffic flow and circulation, limit truck deliveries to 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M. to minimize noise impacts on residents, install solar panels, and finance traffic studies to evaluate safety and efficiency of Tara Hills Drive/Appian Way shopping center intersections.

Commissioner Wong accepted the modification to his initial motion.

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-04, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council of Design Review to Construct New Commercial Structures and Make Site Modifications in Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A, Conditions of Approval, and subject to the following additional conditions:

- The gas station and drive through locations to be swapped to improve traffic and circulation.
- Limit truck deliveries to 10:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M. to minimize noise impacts on residents.
- Install solar panels.
- Finance traffic studies to evaluate safety and efficiency of Tara Hills Drive/Appian Way shopping center intersections.

MOTION: Wong SECONDED: Moriarty APPROVED: 7-0

Speaking to Resolution 20-05, Commissioner Kurrent expressed concern whether the gas price display would be allowed on the pylon sign pursuant to the regulations of the City's Sign Ordinance. He recommended a statement be included in any motion that the additional display was not allowed unless permitted by the Pinole Sign Ordinance.

Development Services Director/City Engineer, Tamara Miller advised the PMC allowed for the digital sign pursuant to Section 17.52.110, Special Sign Requirements.

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-05, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council of Use Permits for a 75-Foot Pylon Sign at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit :A Conditions of Approval

MOTION: Flashman SECONDED: Kurrent APPROVED: 6-1
NOES: Moriarty

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-06, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council of a Public Convenience Or Necessity Determination Request to Allow Beer and Wine Sales for Off-Site Consumption for the Safeway Market and the Safeway Fuel Center Kiosk of Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19.

MOTION: Kurrent SECONDED: Moriarty APPROVED: 7-0

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-07, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council of Use Permits to Allow

May 27, 2020

for Outdoor Dining and Outdoor Merchandise Sales at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

**SECONDED:** Murphy **APPROVED: 7-0 MOTION: Moriarty** 

**MOTION** to adopt Resolution 20-08, a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council of a Variance to Allow for a Gas Station Use In Proximity to an Existing Gas Station and for a Wood Fence in Place of a Masonry Wall at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

**MOTION:** Kurrent **SECONDED:** Moriarty **FAILED: 2-4-1** 

AYES: Kurrent, Ojeda

NOES: Murphy, Moriarty, Flashman, Brooks

ABSTAIN: Wong

Mr. Mog requested the resolution be modified and rephrased as a recommendation for denial to the City Council.

Ms. Miller recommended the two action items in the resolution could be split into two separate motions to allow for better clarity to the City Council, and Mr. Mog confirmed that could be done.

MOTION to adopt a Resolution Recommending Approval to the City Council of a Wood Fence in Place of a Masonry Wall at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

**APPROVED: 5-2** MOTION: Wong SECONDED: Flashman **NOES: Moriarty, Brooks** 

Commissioner Moriarty moved to adopt a resolution recommending approval to the City Council of a variance to allow for a gas station use in proximity to an existing gas station at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

Commissioner Flashman understood the recommendation would be to recommend denial of the variance request to allow for a gas station use in proximity to an existing gas station at Pinole Square, although Mr. Hanham advised the motion would be as stated by the Chair, and as offered by the motion by Commissioner Moriarty. If the motion failed, the Chair should state the recommendation was for denial and staff would change the resolution to show that modification if the majority of the Planning Commission voted in the negative.

[Please note: The Chair stated the motion and second carried for the following item. although there was no audible second to this motion.]

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
12		

**MOTION** to adopt a Resolution Recommending Approval to the City Council of a Variance to Allow for a Gas Station Use In Proximity to an Existing Gas Station at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

MOTION: Moriarty SECONDED: FAILED: 3-2-2

AYES: Kurrent, Ojeda, Brooks NOES: Flashman, Murphy ABSTAIN: Moriarty, Wong

Mr. Mog advised that a resolution required four affirmative votes to pass. The motion failed and the recommendation should be modified.

At this time, Commissioner Moriarty changed her vote from an abstention to a yes vote, with the motion to be shown as follows:

**MOTION** to adopt a Resolution Recommending Approval to the City Council of a Variance to Allow for a Gas Station Use In Proximity to an Existing Gas Station at Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

MOTION: Moriarty SECONDED: APPROVED: 4-2-1

AYES: Kurrent, Moriarty, Ojeda, Brooks NOES: Flashman, Murphy

ABSTAIN: Wong

Speaking to Resolution 20-09, Commissioner Kurrent commented the shopping center was a closed facility and if the parking availability was not sufficient there should be no overflow parking allowed on neighborhood streets.

Also speaking to Resolution 20-09, Commissioner Flashman suggested that drivethroughs significantly affected public health and should not be encouraged.

On the discussion, it was recommended that the two actions in Resolution 20-09 also be broken into two separate motions.

**MOTION** to adopt a Resolution of the Planning Commission of the City of Pinole Recommending Approval to the City Council to Create a New Drive-Through Facility for Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 14 and 19, subject to Exhibit A: Conditions of Approval.

MOTION: Moriarty SECONDED: Wong APPROVED: 4-3 NOES: Flashman, Kurrent, Moriarty MOTION to adopt a Resolution Recommending Approval to the City Council of Use Permits to Allow for a Parking Reduction for Pinole Square at APN: 402-282-002, 06,

1		07, 08, 09, 10, 14 a	nd 19, subject to Exhib	it A: Conditions	of Approval.
2 3		MOTION: Ojeda	SECONDED:	Moriarty	APPROVED: 7-0
4 5 6 7 8 9		the City of Pinole F Allow Beer and Wir the Safeway Fuel C	Recommending Approne Sales for Off-site C	val to the City Consumption for Square at APN:	Planning Commission of Council of Use Permits to the Safeway Market and 402-282-002, 06, 07, 08 oval.
10 11 12		MOTION: Moriarty	y SECONDED:	Wong	APPROVED: 7-0
13 14 15 16 17		the City of Pinole F Allow For A Fueling	Recommending Appro	val to the City C t Pinole Square	Planning Commission of Council of Use Permits to at APN: 402-282-002, 06 of Approval
18 19 20 21		MOTION: Kurrent	SECONDED:	Moriarty ABS	APPROVED: 4-1-2 NOES: Murphy STAIN: Wong, Flashman
22 23 24 25 26 27		votes and one reso recommended for a [Please note: Neith		ito two parts, wit uncil. dentified the 10-d	been adopted by varying th both parts having beer day appeal period for
28 29 30	F.	OLD BUSINESS: N	None		
31 32	G.	NEW BUSINESS: I	None		
33 34	H.	CITY PLANNER'S	/ COMMISSIONERS'	REPORT	
35 36		1. Verbal Upda	ates of Projects		
37 38 39 40 41 42 43		Kmart Shopping Ce Road; multiple ap applications that had	enter and another projections for Access depended in the description and a second a second and a second and a second and a second a s	ect behind the 7 ory Dwelling U n ADU Ordinand	ential projects; one in the Z-Eleven on Pinole Valley Jnits (ADUs) and other ce would be brought to the odivision Map application.
45 46					or sidewalk dining with the e processing of sidewalk

dining permits in response to COVID-19.

Mr. Mog highlighted the Draft Emergency Ordinance for the processing of sidewalk dining permits for 90 days with extensions in anticipation of sidewalk dinning requests in response to social distancing requirements, to be considered by the City Council during its June 2, 2020 meeting.

Commissioner Moriarty recommended Item H2, be continued to the next meeting. She also requested an update on the Old Town Pinole Parking and Pedestrian Safety Study and the Artisanal Garden application, and was informed by Mr. Hanham the Old Town Pinole Parking and Pedestrian Safety Study would be presented to the City Council at a future meeting in June or July, and the Artisanal Garden application may be brought back to the Planning Commission at its next meeting.

Commissioner Moriarty also understood the Chair's term had expired and inquired when a new Planning Commissioner would be appointed, to which Mr. Hanham stated while Chair Brook's term had expired, due to COVID-19 the City had not commenced recruitment at this time. Chair Brooks had agreed to remain on the Planning Commission until a replacement could be appointed.

Commissioner Moriarty further requested that future EIRs be distributed in a more timely manner to the Planning Commission and be made available to the public.

Mr. Mog explained that when an EIR was published in draft form the Planning Commission could be notified to allow for the draft to be reviewed. Comments on a Draft EIR were not available until they were complete and ready to be released to the public.

Commissioner Wong agreed it would have been helpful for the Planning Commission to have the Draft EIR for the Pinole Square project as soon as it had been available.

Commissioner Flashman reported she had not received copies of the staff report or the resolutions prior to the meeting, and Mr. Hanham advised that all of the information had been provided to Planning Commissioners electronically although paper copies could be made available upon request.

# 2. Planning Commissioner's Discussion Following the League of California Cities Planning Commissioner's Academy

Item continued to the next meeting of the Planning Commission scheduled for June 22, 2020.

## I. <u>COMMUNICATIONS</u>: None

J. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>

1		The next meeting of the Planning Commission to be a Regular Meeting to be held
2		on Monday, June 22, 2020 at 7:00 P.M.
3		
4	K.	ADJOURNMENT: 11:47 P.M
5		
6		Transcribed by:
7		
8		
9		Sherri D. Lewis
LO		Transcriber
L1		
1.2		